

A Publication for Joint Base San Antonio



CRIME AND PUNISHMENT

VOLUME I, ISSUE 14

DECEMBER 2016

Nonjudicial Punishment

ARTICLE 15 ACTIONS

During November 2016, JBSA commanders administered 25 nonjudicial punishment actions under Article 15 of an appropriate punishment after considering the circumstances of the offense and reprimand. the offender's record. A "suspended" punishment does not take effect unless the offender engages in additional misconduct or fails to satisfy the conditions of the suspension. The suspension period amount is specified. Administrative discharge may also be an option for commanders after the conclusion of an Article mand. 15, depending on the circumstances. The following are some of the NJP actions Adultery, Violate other General regarding discharge are not included.

Failure to go, Violate other General Order - A Technical Sergeant, without no contact order by continuing to comauthority, failed to go at the time pre- municate with the officer after being orscribed to her appointed place of duty. dered not to. The member received a Additionally, she failed to use LeaveWeb reduction in grade to Airman First Class, as required to absent herself from her and a reprimand. place of duty. The member received a reduction to the grade of Staff Sergeant Larceny, Suffering Military Proper-(suspended), and a reprimand.

The member received a reduction to the grade of Airman (suspended), restriction to base for 30 days, 30 days extra duty, and a reprimand.

Conspiracy to Commit Larceny— An score sheet to make it look like a current the BX for conspiracy to commit larceny rent fitness score sheet. The member after being observed in the BX working received a reduction in grade to Senior with another person to place a pair of Airman, and a reprimand. the UCMJ. The punishments imposed headphones in a backpack. The member reflect the commander's determination of received a reduction in grade to Airman Going from Place of Duty - An Air-

caught with testing material written on received forfeiture of \$724 pay per his hand while taking a block test. The month for one month, and a reprimand. usually lasts for six months unless a lesser member received 20 days restriction to base, forfeiture of \$724 pay per month for one month (suspended), and a repri-

that closed out in November. Decisions Order - A Senior Airman, while still married, was involved in an unprofessional, adulterous relationship with an officer in her unit. The member also violated a

ty- An Airman First Class stole medical supplies from a Military Treatment Facili-Dereliction of Duty: Willful- An ty and conducted a minor surgical proce-Airman First Class in technical training dure on himself. After the member perconsumed alcohol while on CQ duty and formed the procedure he discarded the attempted to give an alcoholic beverage medical waste in an unauthorized trash to another Airman under the age of 21. receptacle. The member received a reduction in grade to Airman (suspended), and a reprimand.

> False Official Statement – A Staff Sergeant altered a previous official PT

Airman First Class in technical training status. The member then presented the was apprehended by Security Forces at altered score sheet to the UFPM as a cur-

Basic (suspended below Airman), and a man Basic in basic training failed to report to a mandatory detail as required, then departed from the installation by jumping **Dereliction of Duty:** Willful – An a perimeter fence. He was later appre-Airman Basic in technical training was hended at a local motel. The member

Military Justice POCs

JBSA Lackland (37 TRW, 59 MDW and LAK Mission Partners)

(671-2007)

Capt Will Wright (Courts)

TSqt Jovanni Hill (Courts)

Ms. Karen Dreitzler (Courts)

Capt Brittany Hannah (NJP)

Capt Doug Moquet (NJP)

TSgt Larry Wrenn (NJP)

IBSA Fort Sam Houston (502 ABW and FSH/CB Mission Partners)

(221-2032)

Capt Lauren McCormick (Courts)

Capt Gabriel Bush (NJP)

TSgt Eduardo De La Torre

JBSA Randolph (12 FTW and RND Mission Partners)

(652-9673)

Capt Eliot Peace

SSgt Margo Walker

Military Magistrate and Pretrial Confinement

NEED A SEARCH AUTHORIZATION? WHO DO YOU CALL?

Scenario:

Amn Skywalker reports that his blue laptop with a Spurs sticker is missing from his dorm room. A reliable witness reports seeing A1C Vader leaving Amn Skywalker's room, and entering his own room, with that same exact laptop just an hour ago. Who do you call? The Military Magistrate.

Military magistrates are officers in the grade of O-5 and above, of "judicial temperament," who are appointed by the installation commander. They determine whether probable cause exists to issue search, seizure, and apprehension authorizations in criminal investigations, and, if so, they issue such authorizations. The probable cause authorizations are based upon written or oral statements or any other evidence or information made known to the magistrate.

Military magistrates must be "on call" 24/7, ready to field requests from AFOSI and SFS for searches and seizures on base. Upon receiving a request and a sworn statement from law enforcement, the magistrate makes a determination as to whether probable cause exists to grant the search or seizure, usually with the advice of the on-call JAG.

WHAT IF AN AIRMAN GOES ON A CRIME SPREE? WHAT CAN BE DONE BEFORE TRIAL?

Scenario:

A1C Vader's room is found to be full of stolen laptops. He is on a crime spree. How can be stopped while he awaits trial? Pretrial Confinement.

Pretrial confinement is rare and is used to ensure the appearance of a defendant at their upcoming court-martial and/or to prevent the commission of serious misconduct by the accused while awaiting trial.

A1C Vader's pretrial confinement would be reviewed after 48 and 72 hours to determine if it was legal and still necessary. Within 7 days of being ordered into pretrial confinement, an Airman is entitled to a hearing before a neutral and detached "Pretrial Confinement Review Officer (PCRO)." The Airman is represented by Defense Counsel and can make his case on why he should be allowed out of confinement. The PCRO is charged with determining whether continued pretrial confinement is warranted by determining whether there is probable cause to believe that (1) a UCMJ violation was committed; (2) the accused committed it; and (3) the restraint imposed is required by the circumstances to either ensure the presence of the accused at court-martial, or to prevent foreseeable serious criminal misconduct while pending court-martial. Members in pretrial confinement are presumed innocent and are still paid while in pretrial confinement.

COURTS-MARTIAL AT JBSA IN NOVEMBER 2016

There were no courts-martial that closed out through sentencing during November 2016.

All courts-martial are open to the public.

Visit our USAF Public Docket website at http://www.afjag.af.mil/docket/index.asp.